arXiv:1409.6897v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 1 Jul 2015

Understanding spin Hall effect in two-dimensional fermionic systems with generic spin-orbit interaction in III-V heterostructures

Boudhayan Paul and Tarun Kanti Ghosh
Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur - Kanpur 208 016, India
(Dated: July 2, 2015)

We delve into spin Hall effect in generic spin-orbit coupled two-dimensional fermionic systems. We derive analytically the spin-orbit force responsible for the spin Hall effect, and find that it has 'Lorentz force'-like form. We also derive the pseudo magnetic field responsible for this force. We establish the relation between the spin Hall conductivity, flux quanta and this pseudo magnetic field, similar to the one between charge Hall conductivity, flux quanta and the external field. We also present an exact closed-form expression of the spin Hall conductivity in a generic spin-orbit coupled system.

PACS numbers: 71.70.Ej,72.25.Dc; 72.10.-d

I. INTRODUCTION

The (charge) Hall effect[1], which exists due essentially to the Lorentz force, is a well known phenomenon of condensed matter physics. Similar to the charge Hall effect, there was a theoretical proposal that dc electric field can generate a spin Hall current in electron/hole gases in III-V zinc blende semiconductors such as AlGaAs-GaAs[2–6]. This effect is also called intrinsic spin Hall effect (SHE) where spin accumulates on the edges parallel to the external electric field. The directions of the accumulated spins are opposite on the opposite edges. It is similar to the charge Hall effect, where charges of opposite sign appear on the opposite edges. The main difference is that contrary to the charge Hall effect, there is no need to apply any magnetic field. A similar effect known as extrinsic spin Hall effect had been predicted by Dyakonov-Perel[7,8] and Hirsch[9] long ago. It is termed *extrinsic* as it necessarily requires spin dependent scattering from magnetic impurities[10,11]. In contrast, the *intrinsic* spin Hall effect is due entirely to spin-orbit interaction (SOI) and occurs even in the absence of any scattering process.

Two independent groups have demonstrated experimental evidences of the intrinsic spin Hall effect[12,13]. It is believed that the intrinsic spin Hall effect has been realized in 2D spin-orbit coupled heavy hole systems by optical means. The observation of spin accumulation established that there is a flow of pure spin current transverse to an external electric field. A pure spin current is thought of as a combination of a current of spin-up electrons in one direction and current of spin-down electrons in the opposite direction, resulting in a flow of spin angular momentum with no net charge current. Thus the intrinsic spin Hall conductance cannot be obtained by (charge) current measurements.

Although there have been numerous studies[14–23] on different aspects of SHE in various condensed matter systems, not many of them attempt to understand the origin of SHE. Shen[24] has shown that the spin Hall conductivity is directly related to the Berry phase. Li[25] and Nikolic[26] *et al* have tried to explain SHE by deriving the pseudo magnetic field from Lorentz-like spin-orbit force only in a Rashba coupled 2D electron gas. But the study was incomplete as Lorentz force involves velocity which, for spin-orbit coupled systems, is not simply proportional to the (crystal) momentum but involves additional SOI terms. In our investigation, we calculate the spin-orbit force with the correct velocity expression, derived in the context of two-dimensional fermionic systems with generic spin-orbit interaction. We observe that this force has a Lorentz-like form, which consistently explains the flow of opposite spins in opposite directions. Then we seek to extract the corresponding "magnetic field" for this Lorentz-like spin-orbit force. We know that charge Hall conductivity is inversely proportional to the external magnetic field. We seek to find out the existence of a similar relation between spin Hall conductivity (SHC) and this pseudo magnetic field. In the later part of this work, we calculate the spin Hall conductivity and establish an inverse square root relation with the spin-orbit (magnetic) field. This relation also involves the unit of (magnetic) flux quanta, which is significant from the fundamental point of view. Our results also indicate the dependence of SHC on spin-orbit coupling (SOC) constant and fermion density through the higher order terms.

This report is organized as follows. In section II, we briefly mention the generic spin-orbit coupled Hamiltonian, its energy eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions. In section III, we derive Lorentz-like spin-orbit force and extract the spin-orbit interaction dependent pseudo magnetic field. In section IV, we derive exact expressions of spin Hall conductivity for the generic systems and show the relation between SHC and the spin-orbit field. We provide a summary of our work in section V.

II. GENERIC SPIN-ORBIT COUPLED TWO-DIMENSIONAL FERMIONIC SYSTEMS

The Hamiltonian of a single fermion of mass m and charge q in a two-dimensional fermionic system with a

generic SOI[27] in III-V heterojunctions is given by

$$H = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} + \frac{i\alpha_l}{2\hbar^l}\left(p_-^l\sigma_+ - p_+^l\sigma_-\right), \quad (1)$$

where $l = 1, 2, 3$ and α_l is the spin-orbit coupling constant whose dimension varies with l. $p_\pm = p_x \pm ip_y$ and $\sigma_\pm = \sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y$ are the complex representation of the momentum operators and Pauli spin matrices, resp. When $l = 1$, the Hamiltonian represents two dimensional electron gas with the k-linear Rashba[28,29] or Dresselhaus spin-orbit interaction[30]. The spin-orbit interaction corresponding to $l = 2$ arises when an in-plane magnetic field is applied to the 2D heavy hole gas[27,31,32] formed at the GaAs heterojunctions. In this case the spin-orbit coupling constant varies linearly with the applied magnetic field i.e. $\alpha_2 \propto B$. Therefore, k-quadratic spin-orbit interaction is invariant under the time-reversal operation. The k-quadratic term is dominating in the high symmetry growth directions [001] and [111] of the heavy holes in GaAs heterojunctions. For $l = 3$, the Rashba spin-orbit interaction is cubic in momentum[33–35]. The k-cubic Rashba spin-orbit interaction is present in 2D heavy hole gas as well as on the surface of $SrTiO_3$. Typical values of the spin-orbit coupling constant α_l[32] are $\alpha_1 \simeq 10^{-11} - 10^{-13}$ eV-m, $\alpha_2 \simeq 10^{-20}$ eV-m^2 for $B \sim 1$ T and width of the quantum well $W = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ m, and $\alpha_3 = 10^{-27} - 10^{-28}$ eV-m^3. We note in passing that Dirac materials (e.g. graphene and graphene-like materials, topological insulators etc.) have a different form of spin-orbit interaction, which has not been taken up in this investigation.

The eigenvalues are $\varepsilon_\lambda = \hbar^2k^2/(2m) + \lambda\alpha_l k^l$ and the corresponding normalized eigenvectors are

$$|\chi^\lambda\rangle = \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\ -\lambda i e^{il\theta}\end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda = \pm$ denote two spin-split energy branches and $\theta = \tan^{-1}(k_y/k_x)$. For the given Fermi energy ε_F, the above two energy branches give rise to two different Fermi wave vectors $k_F^\pm$ fulfilling

$$\varepsilon_F = \frac{(\hbar k_F^\pm)^2}{2m} \pm \alpha_l (k_F^\pm)^l \quad (3)$$

with $k_F^+ < k_F^-$ for positive α_l. Eq.(3) actually contains two equations; subtracting one from the other gives

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left((k_F^+)^2 - (k_F^-)^2\right) + \alpha_l\left((k_F^+)^l + (k_F^+)^l\right) = 0. \quad (4)$$

The total carrier density n_F is given by

$$n_F = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left[(k_F^+)^2 + (k_F^-)^2\right]. \quad (5)$$

One can easily get $k_F^\pm$ for different values of l by solving[18] the above two equations. These are given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_F^\pm &= \sqrt{2\pi n_F - q_1^2} \mp q_1 && l = 1 \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi n_F\,(1 \mp 2q_2)} && l = 2 \\ &= \sqrt{3\pi n_F - \frac{L_F}{8q_3^2}} \mp \frac{L_F}{4q_3} && l = 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $L_F = \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - 16\pi n_F q_3^2}\right]$ with $q_l = m\alpha_l/\hbar^2$. Note that the dimension of q_l is L^{l-2}.

III. LORENTZ-LIKE SPIN-ORBIT FORCE AND SPIN-ORBIT FIELD

In this section we derive the spin-orbit force from the generic spin-orbit interaction Hamiltonian. Using the Heisenberg equation of motion[21], $i\hbar\dot{A} = [A, H]$, we derive, by turn,

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{r}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{m} + \frac{l\alpha_l}{2\hbar^l}\left[p_-^{l-1}\sigma_+(i\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) - p_+^{l-1}\sigma_-(i\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}})\right] \quad (6)$$

and

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}} = \frac{2l\alpha_l^2}{\hbar^{2l+1}}p^{2(l-1)}(\boldsymbol{p}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{z}})\sigma_z. \quad (7)$$

We wish to express Eq.(7) in a form similar to the Lorentz force $[\mathbf{F}_\mathrm{L} = q(\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}\times\mathbf{B})]$ acting on a charge particle q by the external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$.

To do so, we need to know the relation between momentum and velocity for the generic spin-orbit interaction Hamiltonian. One can easily verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}}{m} &= \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{z}} - \frac{l\alpha_l}{\hbar^l}p^{l-1} \\ &\quad\times\left\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cos[(l-1)\theta] + (\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{z}})\sin[(l-1)\theta]\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Putting Eq.(8) into Eq. (7) and rewriting it in the form of the Lorentz force $\mathbf{F}_\mathrm{L}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_\mathrm{so} = m\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}} &= e\Big[\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}\times\frac{2lm^2\alpha_l^2}{e\hbar^{2l+1}}p^{2(l-1)}\sigma_z\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}\Big] - \frac{ilm\alpha_l}{\hbar^l}p^{l-1} \\ &\quad\times\Big[\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cos[(l-1)\theta] + (\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\hat{\boldsymbol{z}})\sin[(l-1)\theta]\Big]\times\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This is one of the main results. The first term on the right hand side of the above equation is exactly similar in form to the Lorentz force $\mathbf{F}_\mathrm{L}$ and one can immediately identify that the spin-orbit interaction dependent *pseudo magnetic field* operator is

$$\mathbf{B}_\mathrm{so}^{(l)} = \frac{2lm^2\alpha_l^2}{e\hbar^{2l+1}}p^{2(l-1)}\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}\otimes\sigma_z. \quad (10)$$

Note that the pseudo magnetic field depends on the two-dimensional momentum operator (p) as well as on the Pauli spin operator σ_z. It is perpendicular to the plane of

the system. The Pauli spin matrix σ_z appearing in $\mathbf{B}_{\rm so}^{(l)}$ will act on spinor of the injected charge carriers. The physical significance of the last term of Eq. (9) remains unclear. However, the last term does not contribute to the calculation of the average force acting on the charge carriers.

Now we would like to calculate magnitude of the spin-orbit field which can be obtained from the above equation. The spin-orbit field produced by a single fermion with the wave vector k is then

$$B_{\rm so}^{(l)}(k) = \frac{2lm^2\alpha_l^2}{e\hbar^3}k^{2(l-1)}. \tag{11}$$

The spin-orbit field does not depend on the carrier density for the case $l = 1$. However, it depends on the charge carrier density for the cases $l = 2$ and $l = 3$. For k-linear spin-orbit interaction, the spin-orbit field produces by each electron is $B_{\rm so}^{(1)}(k) = 2m^2\alpha_1^2/(e\hbar^3) \sim 10^{-4}$ T for $\alpha_1 = 10^{-12}$ eV-m and $m = 0.04m_e$. Similarly, the spin-orbit field produced by the hole at the Fermi surface are $B_{\rm so}^{(2)}(k) \sim 4\pi n_F m^2\alpha_1^2/(e\hbar^3) \sim 10^{-3}$ T for $\alpha_2 = 10^{-19}$ eV-m^2 and $B_{\rm so}^{(3)}(k) \sim 2m^2\alpha_3^2k_F^4/(e\hbar^3) \sim 10^{-2}$ T for $\alpha_3 = 10^{-27}$ eV-m^3. For the estimate of α_2 and α_3, we have used $m = 0.4m_e$ and $n_F = 10^{14}$ m^{-2}. It shows that the spin-orbit field produced by a single fermion at the Fermi surface is quite strong.

In the spin Hall effect experiment, suppose we inject unpolarized (equal number of spin-up and spin-down charge carriers) charge carriers along the x axis. The spin-orbit force will act on the injected unpolarized charge carriers due to the spin-orbit field produced in the z direction by all the charge carriers. The spin-up and spin-down electrons feel spin-orbit force along $\mp\hat{y}$, respectively, resulting in a spin separation across y direction. Thus this spin-orbit field certainly explains SHE.

IV. RELATION BETWEEN SPIN HALL CONDUCTIVITY AND SPIN-ORBIT FIELD

In this section we shall derive the spin Hall conductivity in 2D fermionic systems with generic spin-orbit interaction. Note that the generic SOI (last term of Eq. (1)) can be rewritten in the form of Zeeman interaction as $H_R = (gq)/(2m)\mathbf{B}_{\rm Z}(\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{S}$, where $\mathbf{S} = J\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the total angular momentum operator of the carriers and the wave vector dependent pseudo Zeeman field is given by

$$\mathbf{B}_{\rm Z}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{2m\alpha_l}{Jgq\hbar}k^l\Big[\sin(l\theta)\hat{x} - \cos(l\theta)\hat{y}\Big] \tag{12}$$

This field is responsible for the spin-splitting even in the absence of external magnetic fields. For electrons in n-type heterojunction, $J = 1/2$ and for heavy holes in p-type heterojunctions, $J = 3/2$.

In presence of a weak electric field $\mathbf{E} = E\hat{x}$, the equation of motion of a charge carrier is given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = q\mathbf{E} - \frac{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_0}{\tau}, \tag{13}$$

where $1/\tau$ is the impurity scattering rate, $\mathbf{p} = \hbar\mathbf{k}$ is the momentum at time t and $\mathbf{p}_0 = \hbar\mathbf{k}_0$ is the initial momentum in absence of the external electric field. For convenience, we first assume an ac electric field and in the end we will take the dc limit. Assume a weak ac electric field $\mathbf{E} = E_x e^{i\omega t}\hat{x}$. The solution of Eq. (13) is given by

$$k_x(t) = k_{0x} + \frac{(qE_x/\hbar)e^{i\omega t}}{i\omega + 1/\tau}, \qquad k_y(t) = k_{0y}. \tag{14}$$

The Heisenberg equation of motion of the spin vector $\mathbf{S}$ is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{S}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{gqJ\mathbf{B}_{\rm Z}}{m}\times\mathbf{S}, \tag{15}$$

which, on simplification, yields

$$\begin{pmatrix}\dot{S}_x\\ \dot{S}_y\\ \dot{S}_z\end{pmatrix} = \frac{2\alpha_l k^l}{\hbar}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & -\cos(l\theta)\\ 0 & 0 & -\sin(l\theta)\\ \cos(l\theta) & \sin(l\theta) & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}S_x\\ S_y\\ S_z\end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where $\dot{S}_j$ denotes time derivative of S_j with $j = x, y, z$.

The pseudo Zeeman field felt by the charge carrier will become time-dependent due to the ac electric field. The charge carrier's spin will precess around the equilibrium orientation periodically with time. In the linear response regime, the dynamic precession of the spin of a particle around the equilibrium orientation can be expressed as

$$S_j^{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}, t) = S_j^{(0),\lambda}(\mathbf{k}_0) + \Omega_j^{\lambda}e^{i\omega t}, \tag{17}$$

where $S_j^{(0),\lambda}(\mathbf{k}_0) = J\hbar\langle\mathbf{k}_0\lambda|\sigma_j|\mathbf{k}_0\lambda\rangle$ is the expectation value of the spin operator which is initially in the spinor eigenstate $|\mathbf{k}_0, \lambda\rangle$ before the external electric field is applied. Also, Ω_j^{λ} is the amplitude of the deviation of the spin from the equilibrium state under the action of the electric field. Within the linear approximation, simplification of the Heisenberg equations of motion yields

$$\Omega_z^{\lambda} = -\frac{2\lambda l\alpha_l J\hbar k_0^{l-2}k_{0y}}{\hbar^2\omega^2 - 4\alpha_l^2k_0^{2l}}\,\frac{i\omega qE_x}{i\omega + 1/\tau}\ , \tag{18}$$

$$\Omega_x^{\lambda} = \frac{4\lambda l\alpha_l^2 Jk_0^{2l-2}k_{0y}\cos(l\theta_0)}{\hbar^2\omega^2 - 4\alpha_l^2k_0^{2l}}\,\frac{qE_x}{i\omega + 1/\tau} \tag{19}$$

and

$$\Omega_y^{\lambda} = \frac{4\lambda l\alpha_l^2 Jk_0^{2l-2}k_{0y}\sin(l\theta_0)}{\hbar^2\omega^2 - 4\alpha_l^2k_0^{2l}}\,\frac{qE_x}{i\omega + 1/\tau}\ . \tag{20}$$

Note that the out-of-plane spin fluctuation (Ω_z^{λ}) arises due to the applied in-plane electric field, which is linear in α_l. On the other hand, the in-plane spin fluctuations are quadratic in α_l.

The conventional spin current operator associated with z-polarized spin moving in the y-direction is given by

$\hat{J}_y^z = J\hbar(v_y\sigma_z + \sigma_z v_y)/2$, where the y-component of the velocity operator v_y is obtained from Eq. (6). After simplification, it becomes $\hat{J}_y^z = J\hbar(\hbar k_y/m)\sigma_z = (\hbar k_y/m)S_z$. Note that the spin current operator does not depend on α explicitly. The spin current density at zero temperature is $J_y^z = \sum_\lambda \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2k}{(2\pi)^2} S_z^\lambda(\mathbf{k})(\hbar k_y/m) = \sigma_{\rm sH}^{(l)}(\omega,\tau)E_x$, where the spin Hall conductivity is given by

$$\sigma_{\rm sH}^{(l)}(\omega,\tau) = \sum_\lambda \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{2\lambda l\alpha_l q J\hbar^2 k^{l-2}k_y^2}{m\left(4\alpha^2k^{2l} - \hbar^2\omega^2\right)} \frac{i\omega}{i\omega + 1/\tau}. \tag{21}$$

In presence of impurity, $1/\tau \neq 0$ and hence in the dc limit $\omega = 0$, one can see that the spin Hall conductivity $\sigma_{\rm sH}$ vanishes exactly. It shows that even a small amount of impurity can destroy the spin Hall conductivity completely[36,37].

We consider an infinite system without any impurity $(1/\tau = 0)$ and then taking dc limit $(\omega \to 0)$, we get .

$$\sigma_{\rm sH}^{(l)} = \frac{lqJ\hbar^2}{8\pi m\alpha_l} \int_{k_F^-}^{k_F^+} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k^{l-1}}. \tag{22}$$

For $l = 1$ $(q = -e, J = 1/2)$, we simply reproduce the result $\sigma_{\rm sH}^{(1)} = e/(8\pi)$, exactly same as obtained by Niu *et al*[4]. For $l = 2$ $(q = e, J = 3/2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\rm sH}^{(2)} &= \frac{3e\hbar^2}{8\pi m\alpha_2} \ln\left(\frac{k_F^+}{k_F^-}\right) \\ &= -\frac{3e}{4\pi}\left(1 + \frac{4}{3}q_2^2 + \frac{16}{5}q_2^4 + \cdots\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $q_2 = m\alpha_2/\hbar^2$. It increases logarithmically with k_F^+/k_F^-. For $l = 3$ $(q = e, J = 3/2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\rm sH}^{(3)} &= \frac{9e\hbar^2}{16\pi m\alpha_3}\left(\frac{k_F^+ - k_F^-}{k_F^+k_F^-}\right) \\ &= -\frac{9e}{8\pi}\left(1 + 8\pi n_F q_3^2 + 96\pi^2 n_F^2 q_3^4 + \cdots\right).\end{aligned}$$

Here again the zeroth order term matches with the value reported by Loss *et al*[18]. The above two series expansions are valid since $q_2 \ll 1$ and $q_3^2 n_F \ll 1$ for the typical parameters in various systems.

Using Eq. (11), Eq. (22) can be re-expressed as

$$\sigma_{\rm sH}^{(l)} = \frac{q}{8\pi} J\sqrt{\frac{l^3}{\pi}} \int_{k_F^-}^{k_F^+} \sqrt{\frac{\phi_0}{B_{\rm so}^{(l)}(k)}}\mathrm{d}k, \tag{23}$$

where $\phi_0 = h/e$ is the unit of magnetic flux quanta. Two important conclusions can be drawn from the above equation: i) it is directly related to the flux quanta ϕ_0 although no real magnetic field is applied, and ii) it varies with inverse square root of the spin-orbit field $B_{\rm so}^l(k)$, similar to the charge Hall conductivity

$$\sigma_H = \frac{en_F}{B} = \frac{e^2}{h} n_F \frac{\phi_0}{B}, \tag{24}$$

which is inversely proportional to the external magnetic field B.

V. SUMMARY

In this work, we have derived the correct Lorentz-like spin-orbit force with the associated spin-orbit field of two-dimensional fermionic systems with generic spin-orbit interaction. The spin-orbit field is directed normal to the plane and its magnitude depends on the density as well as spin-orbit coupling constant. We have presented a closed-form expression of the spin Hall conductivity in a generic spin-orbit coupled system. Furthermore, we have found that the spin Hall conductivity is inversely proportional to the square root of the spin-orbit field. Moreover, it is directly related to the flux quanta although no real magnetic field is applied.

[1] N. W. Ashcroft, and N. D. Mermin, Solid State Physics (Harcourt, Orlando, 1976)
[2] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S. C. Zhang, Science **301**, 1348 (2003).
[3] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 235206 (2004).
[4] J. Sinova, D. Culcer, Q. Niu, N. A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 126603 (2004).
[5] J. Schliemann and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 165315 (2004).
[6] N. A. Sinitsyn, E. M. Hankiewicz, W. Teizer, and J. Sinova, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 081312(R) (2004).
[7] M. I. Dyakonov and V. I. Perel, Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. **13**, 467 (1971).
[8] M. I. Dyakonov and V.I. Perel, Phys. Lett. A **35**, 459 (1971).
[9] J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1834 (1999).
[10] P. Nozire and C. Lewiner, J. Phys. **34**, 901 (1973).
[11] N. A. Sinitsyn, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 023201 (2008).
[12] Y. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Science **306**, 1910 (2004).
[13] J. Wunderlich, B. Kaestner, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 047204 (2005).
[14] S. Murakami, Phys. Rev. B, **69**, 241202(R) (2004).
[15] B. A. Bernevig, J. P. Hu, E. Mukamel, and S. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B, **70**, 113301 (2004).
[16] S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. B, **70**, 081311(R) (2004).
[17] D. Culcer, J. Sinova, N. A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, A. H. MacDonald, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett., **93** 046602 (2004).
[18] J. Schliemann and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 085308

(2005).
[19] L. Hu and Z. Huang, Physics Letters A **352**, 250 (2006).
[20] J. Schliemann, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B, **20**, 1015 (2006).
[21] E. M. Chudnovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 206601 (2007).
[22] I. V. Tokatly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 106601 (2008).
[23] T. Fujita, M. B. A. Jalil, and S. G. Tan, New J. Phys. **12**, 013016 (2010).
[24] S.-Q Shen, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 081311(R) (2004).
[25] J. Li, L. Hu, and S. Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 241305(R) (2005).
[26] B. K. Nikolic, L. P. Zarbo, and S. Welack, Phys. Rev. B. **72**, 075335 (2005).
[27] S. Chesi and G. F. Giuliani, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 155305 (2007).
[28] E. I. Rashba, Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) **2**, 1224 (1960) [Sov. Phys. Solid State **2**, 1109 (1960)]
[29] Y. A. Bychkov and E. I. Rashba, J. Phys. C **17**, 6039 (1984).
[30] G. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. **100**, 580 (1955).
[31] D. V. Bulaev and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 097202 (2007).
[32] W. Winkler, Spin-Orbit Coupling Effects in Two-Dimensional Electron and Hole Systems (Springer Verlag, 2003)
[33] R. Winkler, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 4245 (2000).
[34] R. Winkler, H. Noh, E. Tutuc, and M. Shayegan, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 155303 (2002).
[35] S. Chesi, G. F. Giuliani, L. P. Rokhinson, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 236601 (2011).
[36] S. I. Erlingsson, J. Schliemann, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 035319 (2005).
[37] Olga V. Dimitrova, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 245327 (2005).